IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 139 OF 2006**

Rahul Motors Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...Petitioners

**V/s.**

Tata Engineering And Locomotive
Co. Limited & Anr. ...
Respondents.

Mr. Gajanan N. Savagave for the Petitioners

Mr. Mahesh Jethmalani, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Avinash Joshi, Mr. Vipul Bilve and Mr. Dhaivat D. Mehta instructed by M/s. Mulla & Mulla & Craigie Blunt & Caroe
for Respondent No. 1

Mrs. Usha V. Kejriwal, Additional Public Prosecutor,
for Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM: KSHITIJ R. VYAS, C.J.**
**DATE: APRIL 21, 2006.**

**P.C.:-**

In my opinion, the petition is required to be rejected as it is at a premature stage. The learned Magistrate has only issued the process. The petitioners can voice their grievance before him. With these observations, the petition is rejected.

**CHIEF JUSTICE**